arXiv:1302.4550v1 [math.LO] 19 Feb 2013

HAUSDORFF GAPS AND TOWERS IN $\mathscr{P}(\omega)/\mathrm{Fin}$

PIOTR BORODULIN-NADZIEJA AND DAVID CHODOUNSKÝ

ABSTRACT. We define and study two classes of uncountable $\subseteq^*$-chains: Hausdorff towers and Suslin towers. We discuss their existence in various models of set theory. Then, some of the results and methods are used to provide examples of indestructible gaps not equivalent to a Hausdorff gap. Also, we indicate possible ways of developing a structure theory for towers.

1. INTRODUCTION

We say that subsets A, B of ω are in the relation of almost inclusion (denoted by $A \subseteq^* B$) if $A \setminus B$ is finite. One of the motivations of this article is the following question:

Question 1. *Is there an uncountable well-ordered $\subseteq^*$-chain which consists of pairwise $\subseteq$-incomparable elements?*

In a sense this is the question how "far" is $\subseteq^*$ from $\subseteq$.

The answer to Question 1 is positive. We will call well-ordered increasing $\subseteq^*$-chains towers. (We do not assume that towers are maximal, as it is often done in the literature, but we treat here only uncountable towers.) There are both towers witnessing the positive answer to Question 1 (we will call them *special*) and towers which do not have an uncountable subtower consisting of $\subseteq$-incomparable sets (called *Suslin*). Examples of both sorts are implicitly mentioned in [Tod98].

Say that a tower $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ satisfies condition (H) if the set $\{\xi < \alpha \colon T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subseteq n\}$ is finite for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $n \in \omega$. The reader can recognize resemblance between condition (H) and the well-known Hausdorff condition for gaps (see Section 2). This is not a coincidence: every "left half" of a Hausdorff gap is a Hausdorff tower, i.e. a tower having a cofinal subtower with condition (H). It turns out, that Hausdorff towers are the natural examples of special towers. Moreover, under $\mathsf{MA}(\omega_1)$ all towers of length ω_1 are Hausdorff. So, despite the fact that the object as in Question 1 could seem unusual at first glance, it is quite common. In Section 4 we discuss models in which all ω_1 towers are special.

The first example, to our knowledge, of a tower which does not contain an uncountable $\subseteq$-antichain, was given in [vDK82], under assumption of CH. More examples are provided by results from [Tod98]. To say that a tower does not contain an uncountable $\subseteq$-antichain is equivalent to saying that all its subtowers realize oscillation 1. Todorčević proved that every family generating non-meager ideal realizes all oscillations. Thus, every tower rich enough (e.g. generating an ultrafilter) cannot be special. There are also Suslin towers generating meager ideals, see Section 5.

Date: February 20, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03E35, 03E05.

Key words and phrases. Hausdorff gaps, special gaps, towers, oscillations, Suslin trees, Tukey order.

The first author was partially supported by the grant N N201 418939 from the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

There is an analogy between towers and gaps. E.g. under $\mathsf{MA}(\omega_1)$ each gap is Hausdorff as well as each tower (of size ω_1) contains a subgap with condition (H). In a model obtained by adding a single Cohen real, we can produce a non-special gap and non-special tower practically in the same way. However, this analogy breaks up in many ways. E.g. under PID each gap is Hausdorff but the existence of a non-special tower is consistent with PID (see Section 4).

The theory of towers is a debtor of theory of gaps but it is not an ungrateful one. In fact, analysis of the property of being special tower has led us to an example of a gap which is special but not equivalent to a Hausdorff gap (Example 38). In [Sch93] Scheepers asked about the existence of such an object. Hirschorn in [Hir] answered this question affirmatively. Our example is of different sort than this of Hirschorn and it has a simpler description. In Section 6 we offer also other examples of this kind. Many of them exist in any model obtained by adding ω_1 Cohen reals.

Towers are often used as a combinatorial tool in set theory, set theoretic topology and functional analysis. E.g. towers give rise to ordered compacta being continuous images of ω^*. Bell in [Bel88] used a tower to construct a compact separable space which does not continuously map onto $[0,1]^{\omega_1}$ and which does not have a countable π-base. In [vDK82] non-special tower generates L-space and S-space being subspaces of $P(\omega)$ equipped with the Vietoris topology. However, no additional properties of towers are usually needed (with the exception of the last result), except possibly of some maximality properties, like generating a dense ideal, or a maximal ideal. Perhaps this is the reason why there were not many attempts to develop a structure theory for towers. This article can be treated as a modest contribution to the program of filling this gap. The properties of being special or Hausdorff demarcate some dividing lines in the class of towers. In Section 7 we try to examine possible ways to expand this research. We use Tukey ordering, a tool which has proved its worth in exploring the structure of ultrafilters.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON GAPS

It will be convenient to start with definitions and basic facts about gaps. More details can be found in [Sch93] and [Yor03].

Recall that $(L_\alpha, R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is *a pre-gap* if $L_\alpha \cap R_\alpha = \emptyset$ for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ and both $(L_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ and $(R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ are towers. A pre-gap $(L_\alpha, R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ forms *an (ω_1,ω_1)-gap* if there is no set L interpolating it, i.e. no set L such that $L_\alpha \subseteq^* L$ and $R_\alpha \cap L =^* \emptyset$ for every $\alpha < \omega_1$.

More generally, $\left(L_\alpha, R_\beta\right)_{\alpha<\lambda,\beta<\kappa}$ is a (λ,κ)-gap if $L_\alpha \cap R_\beta =^* \emptyset$ for every $\alpha < \lambda$ and $\beta < \kappa$ and there is no L such that $L_\alpha \subseteq^* L$ and $L \subsetneq^* R^c_\beta$ for every $\alpha < \lambda$ and $\beta < \kappa$. Notice that the last inequality is slightly more complicated than the equality $L \cap R_\beta =^* \emptyset$ but this setting enables us to consider $(\lambda, 1)$-gaps. In what follows, a gap is an (ω_1,ω_1)-gap unless stated otherwise.

We say that a gap $(L_\alpha, R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ satisfies *condition (H)* if

$$\left\{\xi < \alpha \colon L_\xi \cap R_\alpha \subseteq n\right\} \text{ is finite}$$

for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $n < \omega$. Similarly, a (pre-)gap satisfies *condition (K)* if

$$\left(L_\alpha \cap R_\beta\right) \cup \left(L_\beta \cap R_\alpha\right) \neq \emptyset$$

for each $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$. Finally, a (pre-)gap satisfies *condition (O)* if

$$L_\alpha \cap R_\beta \neq \emptyset$$

for each $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$.

Now we are ready to define basic types of gaps (the first two are well-known in the literature).

Definition 2. *A gap is called* Hausdorff *if it contains a subgap satisfying condition (H). A gap is called* special *(or* indestructible*) if it contains a subgap satisfying condition (K). A gap $(L_\alpha, R_\alpha)_{\alpha,\beta<\omega_1}$ is called* left-oriented *(or just* oriented*) if it contains a subgap satisfying condition (O). It is right-oriented if $(R_\alpha, L_\alpha)_{\alpha,\beta<\omega_1}$ is left-oriented.*

The name "indestructible" for special gaps is due to the fact that these are precisely gaps indestructible by ω_1 preserving forcing notions.

Theorem 3 (Kunen, see [Sch93])**.** *For a gap $\mathscr{G} = \left(L_\alpha, R_\alpha\right)$ the following is equivalent*

(1) $\mathscr{G}$ is special;
(2) $\mathscr{G}$ is a gap in every ω_1 preserving extension of the universe of sets V;
(3) $\mathscr{G}$ is a gap in every generic extension of the universe obtained by ccc-forcing.

For $i \in 2$ consider the gaps $\left(L^i_\alpha, R^i_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$. We say that these two gaps are *equivalent* if $\mathscr{L}^0 = \mathscr{L}^1$ and $\mathscr{R}^0 = \mathscr{R}^1$, where $\mathscr{L}^i$ is the ideal generated by $\left(L^i_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ (i.e. $\mathscr{L}^i = \left\{A \subseteq \omega \colon \exists \alpha < \omega_1\ A \subseteq^* L^i_\alpha\right\}$), and $\mathscr{R}^i$ is the ideal generated by $\left(R^i_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$.

Lemma 4. *Properties in Definition 2 respect equivalence of gaps.*

Proof. Suppose (L'_α, R'_α) satisfies condition $(\star)$ (where $\star$ is one of H, K, O) and (L_α, R_α) is an equivalent gap. We can find cofinal subgaps

$$(L'_\alpha, R'_\alpha)_{\alpha\in I'} = (O'_\alpha, P'_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}, \quad (L_\alpha, R_\alpha)_{\alpha\in I} = (O_\alpha, P_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$$

and an integer n such that $O'_\alpha \setminus n \subseteq O_\alpha$, $P'_\alpha \setminus n \subseteq P_\alpha$ and both $O'_\alpha \cap n$ and $P'_\alpha \cap n$ are constant for each $\alpha < \omega_1$. Since $(O'_\alpha, P'_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ satisfies $(\star)$ and for $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$ $O'_\alpha \cap P'_\beta \subseteq O_\alpha \cap P_\beta$, the gap $(O_\alpha, P_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ satisfies $(\star)$ as well. $\square$

The following simple fact reveals the connection between Hausdorff and left oriented gaps.

Fact 5. *Every Hausdorff gap $\mathscr{G} = (L_\alpha, R_\alpha)$ is a left oriented (special) gap.*

Proof. Define a set mapping $f : \omega_1 \to [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$ by

$$f(\alpha) = \left\{\xi < \alpha \colon L_\xi \cap R_\alpha = \emptyset\right\}.$$

Since f is a set mapping, Hajnal's free set theorem implies that there is an unbounded $X \subseteq \omega_1$ such that $\xi \notin f(\alpha)$ for each ξ, $\alpha \in X$. But this means that $L_\xi \setminus R_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for each ξ, $\alpha \in X$. $\square$

Under $\mathsf{MA}(\omega_1)$ or PID (see [AT97]) every gap is Hausdorff. It consistent to have special non-Hausdorff gaps; first example of such gap was constructed in [Hir]. In Section 2 we provide a construction of a special non-Hausdorff gap of quite different nature.

For a given tower $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ it is always possible to construct a Hausdorff gap $(L_\alpha, R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ such that $L_\alpha \cup R_\alpha = T_\alpha$ for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ (using ρ function, see [Tod07]). It is even possible to construct many such Hausdorff gaps [Tal95, Far96a, Mor].

It is worth mentioning that there is an analogy between gaps and Aronszajn trees, in which destructible gaps correspond to Suslin trees (see [AT97, Section 2.2]). Indeed, if for a given pre-gap $\mathcal{G} = (L_\alpha, R_\alpha)$ we introduce a "compatibility" relation on ω_1 in the following way: α, $\beta < \omega_1$ are compatible if

$$\left(L_\alpha \cap R_\beta\right) \cup \left(L_\beta \cap R_\alpha\right) = \emptyset,$$

then $\mathcal{G}$ is a gap if there are no uncountable chains (of pairwise compatible elements) on ω_1. If, moreover, $\mathcal{G}$ is destructible, then there are no uncountable antichains (of pairwise incompatible elements) on ω_1. This remark explains an analogy in results about destructible gaps and Suslin trees. E.g. adding a Cohen real adds both a destructible gap and a Suslin tree; under $\mathsf{MA}(\omega_1)$ there are neither Suslin trees nor destructible (ω_1, ω_1)-gaps. We will see that we can add towers to this picture.

3. Basic definitions

We consider towers, i.e. families $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\kappa}$ such that $T_\alpha \setminus T_\beta$ is finite if and only if $\alpha \leq \beta$. We do not assume that towers are maximal, κ is always regular and we consider mainly towers of length ω_1. We say that two towers are equivalent if they generate (together with Fin) the same ideal in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\operatorname{Fin}$.

Now we shall define three properties of towers, similar to those known in theory of gaps. Before doing that, it would convenient to reveal some connections between towers and gaps.

Of course, every gap consists of two towers and every tower is a half of a gap (the other half can be built by induction). Under $\mathsf{MA}(\omega_1)$ even more is true: every ω_1-tower is a half of (ω_1, ω_1)-gap (see [Spa96] and [She09, Remark 2.4]). However, this is not a ZFC theorem. Indeed, if an ω_1-tower is maximal, then it could be only half of $(\omega_1, 1)$-gap. More generally, this holds if the orthogonal of a tower is generated by one set A (i.e. every infinite set disjoint with each member of the tower is almost included in A). Then A^c interpolates any pre-gap (ω_1, λ) having this tower as its half (if $\lambda \neq 1$).

There are also other ω_1-towers, which cannot be a half of (ω_1, ω_1)-gap. If there is an ω_1-scale (i.e. strictly $\leq^*$-increasing sequence $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ of elements of ω^ω eventually dominating all members of ω^ω), then the tower defined by $T_\alpha = \left\{(n,m) \colon m \leq f_\alpha(n)\right\}$ is not maximal (and its orthogonal is not generated by a single set) but it cannot be half of a (ω_1, ω_1)-gap. To see this notice that every set in the orthogonal of $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is a subset of $n \times \omega$ for some $n \in \omega$. Assume that $(T_\alpha, R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ forms an (ω_1, ω_1)-pre-gap. Since there are only countably many choices of n, without loss of generality there is a fixed n for which $R_\alpha \subseteq n \times \omega$. Clearly, $n \times \omega$ interpolates $(T_\alpha, R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$.

We say that a tower of length κ satisfies *condition (K)* if $T_\alpha \nsubseteq T_\beta$ for each $\alpha, \beta < \kappa$.

Definition 6. *A tower $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\kappa}$ is special if it contains a cofinal subtower satisfying condition (K). A tower, which is not special is called a* Suslin *tower.*

The name "Suslin" is justified by the fact that the order $(\mathcal{T}, \subseteq)$ contains neither uncountable $\subseteq$-chains nor uncountable $\subseteq$-antichains, if $\mathcal{T}$ is a Suslin ω_1-tower. We will see later that if we add a tower by a forcing, checking that this forcing is ccc is often the same as checking that the generic tower is Suslin.

We say that a tower $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ satisfies *condition (H)* if

$$\left\{\xi < \alpha \colon T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subseteq n\right\} \text{ is finite}$$

for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $n \in \omega$. (Note that this condition can not be directly generalized for longer towers.)

Definition 7. *A tower $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is Hausdorff if it contains a subtower satisfying condition (H).*

The following fact implies that Hausdorff towers are quite common.

Proposition 8. *Let $(L_\alpha, R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ be a Hausdorff gap. The tower $(L_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is Hausdorff.*

Proof. Since $L_\alpha \cap R_\alpha = \emptyset$ for each $\alpha < \omega_1$, for every $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ if $L_\alpha \cap R_\beta \nsubseteq n$, then $L_\alpha \setminus L_\beta \nsubseteq n$. ☐

Similarly one can prove the following:

Proposition 9. *Let $(L_\alpha, R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ be a left-oriented gap. The tower $(L_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is special.*

The proof of the next fact is practically the same as of Proposition 5.

Proposition 10. *If $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ satisfies the condition (H), then there is an unbounded $X \subseteq \omega_1$ such that $T_\alpha \setminus T_\beta \neq \emptyset$ for each distinct α, $\beta \in X$.*

Proof. Define $f : \omega_1 \to [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$ by

$$f(\alpha) = \left\{\xi < \alpha \colon T_\xi \subseteq T_\alpha\right\}.$$

Since f is a set mapping, Hajnal's free set theorem implies that there is an unbounded $X \subseteq \omega_1$ such that $\xi \notin f(\alpha)$ for each ξ, $\alpha \in X$. But this means that $T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for each ξ, $\alpha \in X$. ☐

Corollary 11. *Every Hausdorff tower is special.*

In particular, Hausdorff gaps give us examples of uncountable towers which form anti-chains if ordered by $\subseteq$. Since Hausdorff gaps exist in ZFC, it follows that special towers exist in ZFC.

There are facts indicating that the notion of Hausdorff tower is more natural than that of special tower. E.g. the next proposition shows that this is a global property, whereas Example 33 will prove that this is not the case of special towers. (Another fact supporting the above statement will be discussed in Section 7.)

Proposition 12. *If a tower $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is equivalent to a Hausdorff tower $(S_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ then $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is Hausdorff.*

Proof. We can suppose that $(S_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ satisfies condition (H). There exist some $n < \omega$ and cofinal subtowers $(T'_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ and $(S'_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ such that $S'_\alpha \setminus n \subseteq T'_\alpha \subseteq^* S'_{\alpha+1}$ for each $\alpha < \omega_1$. Suppose that $(T'_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ does not satisfy (H). There is some $\beta < \omega_1$ and $m < \omega$ such that $I = \{\xi < \beta \colon T'_\xi \setminus T'_\beta \subseteq m\}$ is infinite. Fix $k > \max(n, m)$ such that $T'_\beta \subseteq S'_{\beta+1} \cup k$. Now $I \subseteq \{\xi < \beta + 1 \colon S'_\xi \setminus S'_{\beta+1} \subseteq k\}$ and this contradicts (H) of $(S'_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$. ☐

The property of being special tower is invariant under slightly stronger equivalence relation:

Proposition 13. *Assume λ is a regular cardinal and $\mathscr{T} = \left(T_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\lambda}$ is a special tower. If $\mathscr{T}' = \left(T'_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\lambda}$ is such that $T_\alpha =^* T'_\alpha$ for each $\alpha < \lambda$, then $\mathscr{T}'$ is special.*

Proof. There is $X \subseteq \lambda$ cofinal in λ such that $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha\in X}$ is a $\subseteq$-antichain. We can find $X' \subseteq X$, cofinal in λ such that $T'_\alpha \triangle T_\alpha$ is constant for every $\alpha \in X'$. Clearly, $T'_\alpha \nsubseteq T'_\beta$ for every $\alpha < \beta$, α, $\beta \in X'$. ☐

We finish this section by a comment on Proposition 8.

Remark 14. *We are not aware of any way of constructing a Hausdorff tower, in* ZFC*, without producing a Hausdorff gap (i.e. without constructing the other half of the gap). However, there are several generic examples of Hausdorff towers which are not halves of Hausdorff gaps. E.g. in every model obtained by a forcing with a Suslin tree, there is a Hausdorff tower which is maximal. Let* $\mathbb{S}$ *be a Suslin tree. Define* $\varphi\colon \mathbb{S} \to \mathscr{P}(\omega)$ *in such a way that*

1. $\varphi(s) \cap \varphi(t) =^* \emptyset$ *for each incompatible* $s,\ t \in \mathbb{S}$*;*
2. $\varphi(s) \subseteq^* \varphi(t)$ *if* $t \le s$*;*
3. *if* S *is a branch of the tree, then* $\{\varphi(s)^c : s \in S\}$ *satisfies condition (H).*

Such φ *can be constructed by induction on the levels of* $\mathbb{S}$*, using the fact that all branches in* $\mathbb{S}$ *are countable and a simplifying assumption that* $\mathbb{S}$ *does not split at limit levels. Having such* φ *we can see that the* $\mathbb{S}$*-generic branch through* $\varphi''[\mathbb{S}]$ *is a tower which is maximal (in principle, because* $\mathbb{S}$ *does not add new subsets of* ω*, see e.g.* [Far96b, Lemma 2]*) and which is Hausdorff.*

This gives another example of a family generating a dense ideal (i.e. such that the dual filter does not have an infinite pseudo-intersection) which does not realize oscillation 1 *(cf.* [Tod98, Example 1]*).*

4. SPECIAL TOWERS

We already know that special towers exist in ZFC. We will see that consistently there are no other towers of length ω_1. The simplest way to see it is to use OCA. For the formulation of OCA see e.g. [Tod89]; we will only use the following consequence of OCA (see [Tod89, Proposition 8.4]):

Proposition 15 (Todorčević)**.** *Under* OCA *every uncountable subset of* $\mathscr{P}(\omega)$ *contains an uncountable chain or antichain.*

A tower is well-ordered by $\subseteq^*$, so it cannot contain an uncountable chain. Hence, the following holds:

Proposition 16. *Under* OCA *every* ω_1*-tower is special.*

It is unclear for us if OCA implies that all towers of length ω_1 are Hausdorff. However, this is true if we assume MA(ω_1). Before proving it, it will be convenient to make the following fact available.

Lemma 17. *Let* $(A_\alpha, B_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ *be a sequence such that* $A_\alpha \subseteq B_\alpha \subseteq^* A_\beta \subseteq \omega$ *and* $A_{\alpha+1} \setminus B_\alpha$ *is infinite for each for* $\alpha < \omega_1$*. There exist* $\xi < \zeta < \omega_1$ *such that* $A_\xi \nsubseteq B_\zeta$*.*

Proof. Suppose $A_\alpha \subseteq B_\beta$ for each $\alpha \le \beta < \omega_1$. Put $C_\alpha = \bigcup \{A_\xi : \xi \le \alpha\}$. We have $C_\alpha \subseteq B_\alpha$ and since $A_{\alpha+1} \setminus B_\alpha$ is infinite, $C_\alpha \ne C_{\alpha+1}$ for each $\alpha < \omega_1$. Thus, $(C_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is an increasing $\subseteq$-chain of type ω_1, a contradiction. □

Proposition 18. *Let* $\mathscr{T} = (T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ *be a tower. There exist a ccc forcing making* $\mathscr{T}$ *a Hausdorff tower in the extension.*

Proof. A condition in the desired forcing is a pair $p = (F_p, n_p) \in [\omega_1]^{<\omega} \times \omega$. A condition q is stronger than p if $F_p \subseteq F_q$, $n_p \le n_q$ and for each $\alpha < \beta$, $\alpha \in F_q \setminus F_p$, $\beta \in F_p$ there exists some $m \in (T_\alpha \setminus T_\beta) \setminus n_p$. For each condition p and ordinal $\alpha < \omega_1$, $F_p < \alpha$, the pair $\langle F_p \cup \{\alpha\}, n\rangle$ (where $n > n_p$) is a condition stronger than p and thus this forcing adds a subtower cofinal in $\mathscr{T}$, which fulfills condition (H) (provided that ω_1 is preserved).

To prove ccc, let $\{p_\alpha = \langle F_\alpha, n_\alpha\rangle : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a set of conditions. We can suppose that $n_\alpha = n$ for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ and that $\{F_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ forms a Δ-system with core F. Denote $F'_\alpha = F_\alpha \setminus F$. Assume without loss of generality that $\max F < \max F'_\alpha < \min F'_\beta$ if $\alpha < \beta$.

For $\alpha < \omega_1$ put $A_\alpha = \bigcap \left\{T_\xi : \xi \in F'_\alpha\right\} \setminus n$ and $B_\alpha = \bigcup \left\{T_\xi : \xi \in F'_\alpha\right\} \setminus n$. Lemma 17 shows that there are $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ such that $A_\alpha \nsubseteq B_\beta$. Note that $\langle F_\alpha \cup F_\beta, n\rangle$ is a condition stronger than both p_α and p_β. ☐

Corollary 19. $\mathsf{MA}(\omega_1)$ *Every tower of length* ω_1 *is Hausdorff.*

Since there are no Hausdorff towers of length greater than ω_1, this result does not generalize to higher cardinals. However, the following is still true.

Theorem 20. *Let* κ *be a regular uncountable cardinal and let* $\mathscr{T} = (T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\kappa}$ *be a tower. There is a ccc forcing making* $\mathscr{T}$ *special in the extension.*

Theorem 20 can be proved in similar way to [vDK82, Theorem 1.4], see also [Wei84, Theorem 4.4]. The forcing consists simply of finite subsets F of κ such that $T_\alpha \nsubseteq T_\beta$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$, and α, $\beta \in F$. It is clear that this forcing adds a cofinal subtower satisfying (K). Checking ccc needs some work but it is not difficult. Instead of proving Theorem 20 directly we show a slightly stronger theorem. Namely, under $\mathsf{MA}(\kappa)$ every tower of length $\lambda < \kappa$ can be modified to a tower with condition (K) by a minor cosmetic operation: it is enough to add at most one point to each level and to remove at most one point from each level. Proving ccc for this forcing is similar to proving it for the forcing mentioned above.

Theorem 21. *For every tower* $\mathscr{T} = \left(T_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\kappa}$*, where* κ *is a regular cardinal, there is a ccc forcing* $\mathbb{P}$ *and a tower* $\mathscr{T}' = \left(T'_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\kappa}$ *such that* $|T_\alpha \setminus T'_\alpha| \leq 1$ *and* $|T'_\alpha \setminus T_\alpha| \leq 1$ *for each* $\alpha < \omega_1$ *and* $\mathbb{P}$ *forces that* $\mathscr{T}'$ *satisfies condition (K).*

This oddity together with Proposition 13 implies Theorem 20. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 22. *For* $k < \omega$ *and each* $i < k$ *let* $\mathscr{T}_i = \left(T^i_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ *be a tower. There exist* $\zeta < \xi < \omega_1$ *such that* $T^i_\zeta \nsubseteq T^i_\xi$ *for each* $i < k$*.*

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on k. For $k = 1$ the statement holds true. At the $k+1$-th step we use the induction hypothesis to find pairs $\zeta_\alpha < \xi_\alpha$ for $\alpha < \omega_1$ such that $T^i_{\zeta_\alpha} \nsubseteq T^i_{\xi_\alpha}$ and $\xi_\alpha < \zeta_\beta$ for each $i < k$ and $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$.

Claim. *We can moreover assume that* $T^i_{\zeta_\alpha} \nsubseteq T^i_{\xi_\beta}$ *for each* $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$ *and* $i < k$*.*

We can first refine the system so that there is $n < \omega$ such that $T^i_{\zeta_\alpha} \cap n \nsubseteq T^i_{\xi_\alpha} \cap n$ for each $i < k$ and $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$. After that refine further to get $T^i_{\zeta_\alpha} \cap n$ and $T^i_{\xi_\alpha} \cap n$ constant for fixed i. ☐

If $T^k_{\zeta_\alpha} \nsubseteq T^k_{\xi_\alpha}$ for some $\alpha < \omega_1$ we are done so suppose the opposite. Lemma 17 states that there is $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ such that $T^k_{\xi_\alpha} \nsubseteq T^k_{\zeta_\beta}$. Thus $\xi = \xi_\alpha$ and $\zeta = \zeta_\beta$ are as required. ☐

Proof. (of Theorem 21)

A condition $p \in \mathbb{P}$ is of the form $\left(F_p, a_p, r_p\right)$, where

- $F_p \in [\kappa]^{<\omega}$;
- $a_p : F \to \omega$ and $r_p : F \to \omega$;

- for every $\alpha, \beta \in F$ we have

$$T_\alpha \cup \left\{a_p(\alpha)\right\} \setminus \left\{r_p(\alpha)\right\} \nsubseteq T_\beta \cup \left\{a_p(\beta)\right\} \setminus \left\{r_p(\beta)\right\}.$$

The ordering is given by $q \leq p$ if $F_p \subseteq F_q$, $a_q|F_p = a_p$ and $r_q|F_p = r_p$. Notice that for each condition $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $\alpha \in \kappa$, there is $q \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $\alpha \in F_q$ and $q \leq p$. Indeed, choose

$$m \notin \bigcup \left\{T_\xi \cup \left\{a_p(\xi)\right\} : \xi \in F_p \setminus \alpha\right\}$$

and

$$n \in \bigcap \left\{T_\xi \setminus \left\{r_p(\xi)\right\} : \xi \in F_p \cap \alpha\right\}$$

and define $F_q = F_p \cup \{\alpha\}$, $a_q(\alpha) = m$, $r_q(\alpha) = n$. Let G be a $\mathbb{P}$-generic, $a = \bigcup_{p \in G} a_p$, $r = \bigcup_{p \in G} r_p$. Clearly, the tower defined by $T'_\alpha = T_\alpha \cup \{a(\alpha)\} \setminus \{r(\alpha)\}$ is as desired.

It only remains to show that our forcing is ccc. Let $\{p_\alpha \colon \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a set of conditions. We will denote $F_\alpha = F_{p_\alpha}$, $a_\alpha = a_{p_\alpha}$ and $r_\alpha = r_{p_\alpha}$. Thinning out the sequence we may assume that $F_\alpha = \left\{\xi^0_\alpha < \xi^1_\alpha < \ldots < \xi^{k-1}_\alpha\right\}$ for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ and that $a_\alpha\left(\xi^i_\alpha\right)$ and $r_\alpha\left(\xi^i_\alpha\right)$ depend only on i. Using Δ-lemma we further assume that $F_\alpha = F \cup F'_\alpha$ for each $\alpha < \omega_1$, where $(F'_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is pairwise disjoint and there is $I \subseteq k$ such that $F = \left\{\xi^i_\alpha \colon i \in I\right\}$ for every $\alpha < \omega_1$. So, for each $i < k$ the sequence $(\xi^i_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is either constant or injective. Considering a subsequence once again (if necessary), we may assume that $(\xi^i_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is either constant or strictly increasing for each $i < k$. Apply Lemma 22 to find α, β such that $T_{\xi^i_\alpha} \nsubseteq T_{\xi^i_\beta}$ for each $i < k$. Now $q = \left(F_\alpha \cup F_\beta, a_\alpha \cup a_\beta, r_\alpha \cup r_\beta\right)$ is a condition of $\mathbb{P}$ and $q \leq p_\alpha$, $q \leq p_\beta$. □

Proposition 18 is an analogue of the theorem that under $\mathsf{MA}(\omega_1)$ every gap is Hausdorff. In [AT97] the authors prove that the same statement holds under P-ideal dichotomy. This is not true for towers. P-ideal dichotomy is compatible with CH and under CH Suslin towers do exist. However, if we additionally assume that $\mathfrak{b}$ is big, then P-ideal dichotomy implies that every ω_1-tower is Hausdorff. Recall that $\mathfrak{b}$ is the minimal cardinality of a family in ω^ω which cannot be $\leq^*$-dominated. The *P-ideal dichotomy* (PID) is the assertion: for every P-ideal $\mathscr{I} \subseteq [\omega_1]^\omega$ one of the following holds:

- there is an uncountable $K \subseteq \omega_1$ such that $[K]^\omega \subseteq \mathscr{I}$;
- $\omega_1 = \bigcup_{n<\omega} A_n$ and $A_n \cap I$ is finite for each $n < \omega$ and $I \in \mathscr{I}$.

Notice that if for each uncountable $K \subseteq \omega_1$ there is an infinite $I \subseteq K$, $I \in \mathscr{I}$, then the second alternative cannot hold.

Theorem 23. *Assume* PID. *Every* ω_1*-tower is Hausdorff if and only if* $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$.

Proof. In the next section we shall prove that a Suslin tower of length $\mathfrak{b}$ always exists (Proposition 26). Now, we will prove only the "if" part of the theorem.

Define an ideal $\mathscr{I} \subseteq [\omega_1]^{\leq\omega}$ by

$$I \in \mathscr{I} \text{ iff } C^n_\alpha(I) = \left\{\xi \in \alpha \cap I \colon T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subseteq n\right\} \text{ is finite for each } \alpha < \omega_1, n < \omega.$$

Claim. *If* $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$, *then* $\mathscr{I}$ *is a P-ideal.*

Consider a sequence $\{I_n \colon n < \omega\} \in [\mathscr{I}]^\omega$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $(I_n)_{n<\omega}$ is pairwise disjoint and fix an enumeration $I_n = \left\{\xi^n_k \colon k < \omega\right\}$ for each n. For every $\alpha < \omega_1$ define a function $f \colon \omega \to \omega$ by

$$f_\alpha(n) = \max\left\{k \colon T_{\xi^n_k} \setminus T_\alpha \subseteq n\right\}.$$

Let $g\colon \omega \to \omega$ be a function $\le^*$-dominating $\{f_\alpha\colon \alpha < \omega_1\}$. Let

$$I = \bigcup_{n<\omega} I_n \setminus \left\{\xi^n_k\colon k \le g(n)\right\}.$$

It is straightforward to check that $I \in \mathscr{I}$ and $I_n \subseteq^* I$ for each n. ☐

The first alternative of PID for $\mathscr{I}$ gives us a subtower which fulfills condition (H). So we only need to refute the second alternative of PID. We shall show that for each uncountable $K \subseteq \omega_1$ there is $I \in \mathscr{I} \cap [K]^\omega$.

Claim. *There exist $x \in 2^\omega$ such that $x \in \overline{\{T_\alpha\colon \alpha \in K\}}$ (the closure in the Cantor space) but $x \notin \langle T_\alpha\rangle_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ (the ideal generated by the tower).*

If $\overline{\{T_\alpha\colon \alpha \in K\}} \subseteq \langle T_\alpha\rangle_{\alpha<\omega_1}$, then the ideal $\langle T_\alpha\rangle_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is generated by a closed set and thus is a Borel P-ideal. On the other hand, an analytic P-ideal, which is not countably generated, cannot be generated by less than $\mathfrak{d}$-many sets [Tod96a, Theorem 6]. ☐

Fix $I \in [K]^\omega$ such that x is the single accumulation point of $\{T_\alpha\colon \alpha \in I\}$. To conclude that $I \in \mathscr{I}$, notice that if for some $\beta < \omega_1$ and $n < \omega$ we have $T_\alpha \subseteq T_\beta \cup n$ for infinitely many $\alpha \in I$, there would be an accumulation point of $\{T_\alpha\colon \alpha \in I\}$, which would be a subset of $T_\beta \cup n$ and hence in $\langle T_\alpha\rangle_{\alpha<\omega_1}$. ☐

This seems a convenient moment at which to mention the following two results. Note, that none of them directly implies Corollary 19.

Theorem 24 (Shelah [She09])**.** MA(ω_1) *implies that every ω_1-tower is a right half of a Hausdorff gap.*

Theorem 25 (Spasojević [Spa96])**.** MA(σ-*centered*) *implies that every ω_1-tower is a right half of a left-oriented gap.*

We will present part of the proof of Theorem 25 in Section 6.

5. Suslin towers

We know that consistently there are no Suslin ω_1-towers. However, Suslin towers, perhaps longer than ω_1, always exist:

Proposition 26. *There is a tower $\mathscr{T} = \left(T_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\mathfrak{b}}$ which is Suslin.*

Proof. Let $\{f_\alpha\colon \alpha < \mathfrak{b}\} \subseteq \omega^\omega$ be a $\le^*$-unbounded family which is $\le^*$-strictly increasing. Define

$$T_\alpha = \left\{(m, n)\colon n \le f_\alpha(m)\right\}$$

for every α. This is a $\mathfrak{b}$-tower (on $\omega \times \omega$). If $K \subseteq \omega_1$ is uncountable, then $\{f_\alpha\colon \alpha \in K\}$ is $\le^*$-unbounded and, thus, there is $\alpha < \beta$, α, $\beta \in K$ such that $f_\alpha(m) \le f_\beta(m)$ for each $m < \omega$ (see [Tod88]). Therefore, $T_\alpha \subseteq T_\beta$ and thus $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is a Suslin tower. ☐

The above fact and Theorem 23 may suggest that the existence of a Suslin ω_1-tower is equivalent to $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$ in ZFC. This is not true.

Proposition 27. *It is consistent that $\mathfrak{b} = \omega_2$ and there is a Suslin ω_1-tower.*

Proof. Start with a model of $\mathfrak{b} = \omega_1 < \omega_2 = \mathfrak{c}$ and fix a Suslin tower $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$. Then use finite support ω_2-iteration of Hechler forcings (for adding a dominating real). This will make $\mathfrak{b} = \omega_2$. Hechler forcing is σ-centered and finite-support iterations of σ-centered forcings of length at most $\mathfrak{c}$ are still σ-centered. It is known that a σ-linked forcing notion does not destroy ccc of posets from the groundmodel. We will prove it for our case. Suppose that $\mathbb{P}$ is a σ-linked forcing, $p \in \mathbb{P}$ is any condition, and $\dot{X}$ is a $\mathbb{P}$-name for an uncountable subset of ω_1. Consider

$$X = \left\{\alpha < \omega_1 : \exists p_\alpha < p, p_\alpha \Vdash \alpha \in \dot{X}\right\}.$$

Since $\mathbb{P}$ is σ-linked, there is an uncountable $X_0 \subseteq X$ such that $p_\alpha \parallel p_\beta$ for each $\alpha, \beta \in X_0$. The tower $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is Suslin, hence there are $\alpha, \beta \in X_0$ such that $T_\alpha \subseteq T_\beta$ and any $q < p_\alpha, p_\beta$ forces that $\alpha, \beta \in \dot{X}$. Therefore, the tower remains Suslin in the extension. □

The crux of Proposition 26 is Todorčević's result on oscillations of functions. His work on oscillations of subsets of ω in [Tod98] sheds even more light on the existence of Suslin towers. Recall that an oscillation of A, $B \subseteq \omega$ (denoted by $\mathrm{osc}(A,B)$) is the cardinality of the set $A \triangle B/\sim$, where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation defined on $A \triangle B$ by

$$m \sim n \text{ iff } [n,m] \subseteq A \setminus B \text{ or } [n,m] \subseteq B \setminus A$$

if we slightly abuse notation treating $[n,m]$ as $[m,n]$ for $m < n$. We say that a family $\mathscr{A} \subseteq \mathscr{P}(\omega)$ *realizes an oscillation* $n < \omega$ if there are $A, B \in \mathscr{A}$ such that $\mathrm{osc}(A,B) = n$.

The following is, in principle, [Tod98, Corollary 2], stated in less generality.

Theorem 28 (Todorčević, [Tod98]). *If a family $\mathscr{A} \subseteq \mathscr{P}(\omega)$ generates a non-meager ideal, then it realizes all oscillations.*

Since $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $\mathrm{osc}(A,B) = 1$, it follows that each tower generating a non-meager ideal is Suslin. We enclose here, for the reader's convenience, the sketch of the proof of the latter assertion, extracted from [Tod98]:

Proof. We will say that a tower $\mathscr{T} = (T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\kappa}$ has *property* (ξ) if for arbitrarily large $n < \omega$ there is $t \subseteq n$ such that for each $m > n$ there is arbitrarily large $\beta < \kappa$ with the properties

- $T_\beta \cap n = t$;
- $[n,m) \subseteq T_\beta$.

Claim. *(ξ) Every tower (of size κ of uncountable cofinality) with property (ξ) is a Suslin tower.*

This is basically [Tod98, Lemma 2]. Let $\mathscr{T}$ be a tower with property (ξ). Since $P(\omega)$ is hereditary separable, we can fix a countable set $\mathscr{D} \subseteq \mathscr{T}$ dense in $\mathscr{T}$. There is $\alpha < \kappa$ such that $D \subseteq^* T_\alpha$ for each $D \in \mathscr{D}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that there is $m_0 < \omega$ such that $T_\alpha \setminus m_0 \subseteq T_\beta$ for every $\beta > \alpha$. Using property (ξ) we can fix $m_1 > m_0$ and $t \subseteq m_1$ such that for every $m > m_1$ there is $\beta > \alpha$ such that $T_\beta \cap m_1 = t$ and $[m_1, m) \subseteq T_\beta$.

Let $D \in [t] \cap \mathscr{D}$. Fix $m > m_1$ such that $D \setminus m \subseteq T_\alpha$ and β such that $[m_1, m) \subseteq T_\beta$.

Then

- $D \cap m_1 = t = T_\beta \cap m_1$;
- $D \cap [m_1, m) \subseteq [m_1, m) = T_\beta \cap [m_1, m)$;
- $D \setminus m \subseteq T_\alpha \setminus m_0 \subseteq T_\beta$.

Hence, $D \subseteq T_\beta$. □

It is enough now to show that every tower which generates a non–meager ideal has property (ξ). This is basically the beginning of the proof of [Tod98, Theorem 1] and the proof of [Tod98, Lemma 1]. First, we have to ensure that for each $t \in 2^{<\omega}$ the set

$\{\alpha\colon t \subseteq T_\alpha\}$ is either empty or cofinal in $\mathscr{T}$. This is standard (since $2^{<\omega}$ is countable). Then we argue *a contrario*. Subsequently negating (ξ) we obtain an increasing sequence of natural numbers $(n_k)_{k<\omega}$ witnessing the fact that $\mathscr{T}$ is meager. □

As a corollary we obtain a lot of examples of Suslin towers. E.g., each tower generating an ultrafilter is Suslin.

In a somehow similar manner (to Claim (ξ)) we can prove that adding a Cohen real adds a Suslin tower. This result is not a surprise. The proof mimics the well known argument used by Todorčević to show that Cohen reals produce destructible gaps.

Proposition 29. *Let $\left(T_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ be a tower and let c be a Cohen real in an extension. Then $\left(T_\alpha \cap c\right)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is a Suslin tower.*

Proof. To see that the tower is not eventually constant notice that $c \cap \left(T_\beta \setminus T_\alpha\right)$ is infinite for each $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$.

Let $p \in {}^n2$ be a Cohen condition and $\dot{X}$ be a name for an uncountable subset of ω_1. We can assume that $X = \dot{X}$ belongs to the groundmodel (by taking stronger condition if necessary). Consider $\alpha < \beta$ such that $T_\alpha \cap n = T_\beta \cap n$ and fix $m > n$ such that $T_\alpha \subset T_\beta \cup m$. Extend p to $q \in {}^m2$ such that $q^{-1}(1) = p^{-1}(1)$. Now $q \Vdash T_\alpha \cap \dot{c} \subseteq T_\beta \cap \dot{c}$. □

This simple example is of some importance, since the resulting Suslin tower will be used in the next section to produce a special non-Hausdorff gap. Notice also that intersecting a Cohen real with a gap gives us a destructible gap with both sides being Suslin towers. So, it is possible to have Suslin towers which are far from being non-meager (whose orthogonal is not generated by a single set).

One of the standard way to add a tower generically is to use Hechler's technique from [Hec74]. Hechler proved that whenever $\mathscr{P}$ is a partial order, there is a forcing notion $\mathbb{P}$ such that $\mathbb{P} \Vdash$ "$\dot{\mathscr{P}}$ embedds in $\mathscr{P}(\omega)/\operatorname{Fin}$". It seems that whenever $\mathscr{P}$ is a partial order and $\mathscr{C} \subseteq \mathscr{P}$ is an uncountable chain, then in Hechler's extension the embedding of $\mathscr{C}$ into $\mathscr{P}(\omega)/\operatorname{Fin}$ will be Suslin, unless we impose some additional restrictions on the conditions of $\mathbb{P}$. We will try to justify this intuition by the examples below and in the next section.

Example 30. Classical Hechler's forcing for adding a tower.

A condition in $\mathbb{P}$ is a triple $p = \left(F_p, n_p, A_p\right)$ where $F_p \in [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$, $n_p < \omega$ and $A_p \subseteq F_p \times n_p$. For two conditions p, q we use notation $p \cup q = \left(F_p \cup F_q, n_p \cup n_q, A_p \cup A_q\right)$. A condition q is stronger than p if $n_p \le n_q$, $F_p \subseteq F_q$, $A_q \cap \left(F_p \times n_p\right) = A_p$ and for each $\alpha, \beta \in F_p$, $\alpha < \beta$ and $i \in \left[n_p, n_q\right)$

$$\text{if } (\alpha, i) \in A_q \text{ then } (\beta, i) \in A_q.$$

We have to prove that the above forcing is ccc.

Claim. *$\mathbb{P}$ is ccc.*

Fix a set of conditions $\{p_\alpha\colon \alpha < \omega_1\}$. Use Δ-lemma to find an uncountable set I such that $\{F_{p_\alpha}\colon \alpha \in I\}$ forms a Δ-system with core Δ and n_{p_α} is constant for $\alpha \in I$. We can further refine I to an uncountable I' so that $A_{p_\alpha} \cap (\Delta \times n_{p_\alpha})$ is constant. Now for each $\alpha, \beta \in I'$ the conditions p_α and p_β are compatible since $p_\alpha \cup p_\beta$ is their common extension. □

Let G be a generic filter. Put $A = \bigcup_{p\in G} A_p$. For $\alpha < \omega_1$ define

$$T_\alpha = \{i < \omega\colon (\alpha, i) \in A\}.$$

Claim. $\left(T_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ *is a Suslin tower.*

It is obvious that $\left(T_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is non-constant. Consider a name $\dot{X}$ for an uncountable subset of ω_1 and a condition p. There is an uncountable set

$$X = \left\{\alpha < \omega_1 : \exists p_\alpha < p, \alpha \in F_{p_\alpha}, p_\alpha \Vdash \alpha \in \dot{X}\right\}.$$

Now proceed in the same way as in the proof of the previous claim to get an uncountable set $I \subseteq X$. We may further suppose that $A_{p_\alpha} \cap \left(\{\alpha\} \times n_{p_\alpha}\right)$ is constant for $\alpha \in I$. Hence $p_\alpha \cup p_\beta < p_\alpha, p_\beta$ and

$$p_\alpha \cup p_\beta \Vdash \left(\alpha, \beta \in \dot{X} \text{ and } T_\alpha \subseteq T_\beta\right)$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in I, \alpha < \beta$. □

The forcing used above is in fact equivalent to the forcing adding ω_1 Cohen reals. In what follows we will denote the latter by $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$.

Proposition 31. *$\mathbb{P}$ is equivalent to $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$.*

Proof. Using [Kop93, Main Theorem], it is enough to find a sequence of $(\mathfrak{A}_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ such that

(a) $\mathfrak{A}_\gamma = \bigcup_{\alpha<\gamma} \mathfrak{A}_\alpha$ for each limit $\gamma < \omega_1$,
(b) $\bigcup_{\alpha<\omega_1} \mathfrak{A}_\alpha$ is isomorphic to the Boolean algebra generated by $\mathbb{P}$,
(c) $\mathfrak{A}_\alpha$ has a countable dense subset for each $\alpha < \omega_1$,
(d) $\mathfrak{A}_{\alpha+1}/\mathfrak{A}_\alpha$ has a countable dense set for each $\alpha < \omega_1$,
(e) $\mathfrak{A}_\alpha$ is regularly embedded in $\mathfrak{A}_{\alpha+1}$.

For $\alpha < \omega_1$ let $\mathbb{P}_\alpha = \{(F, n, A) \in \mathbb{P} : F \subseteq \alpha\}$ and let $\mathfrak{A}_\alpha$ be the Boolean algebra generated by $\mathbb{P}_\alpha$. Of the above, only checking (e) is non-trivial.

It is enough to show that for $\alpha < \beta \leq \omega_1$ there is a pseudo-projection $p : \mathbb{P}_\beta \to \mathbb{P}_\alpha$ (see [BP10, Proposition 7]). I.e. we need to define for each $q = \left(F_q, n_q, A_q\right) \in \mathbb{P}_\beta$ a condition $p(q) \in \mathbb{P}_\alpha$, such that whenever $r < p(q), r \in \mathbb{P}_\alpha$ then r is compatible with q (in $\mathbb{P}$). It is trivial to check that $p(q) = \left(F_q \cap \alpha, n_q, A_q \cap (\alpha \times n_q)\right)$ works. □

In what follows we will present several other incarnations of $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$, used for producing peculiar towers and gaps. The proofs of the equivalences are similar to the one presented above.

Example 32. Hechler's forcing with the Hausdorff restriction.

Consider a modification of the forcing from Example 30. We add one additional requirement for $q < p$. Namely, for each $\alpha \in F_p$ and $\xi \in F_q \setminus F_p$, $\xi < \alpha$ there has to be some $i \geq n_p$ such that $(\xi, i) \in A_q$ and $(\alpha, i) \notin A_q$.

This forcing adds a generic tower satisfying condition (H) in the same way, as forcing from Example 30 adds a Suslin tower. Notice that the tower will be maximal, so this is another example of a maximal Hausdorff tower (see Remark 14).

As in Example 30, we can show that this forcing is equivalent to $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$ (and so is ccc), the same definition of $\mathfrak{A}_\alpha$ pseudo-projections works also for this forcing. Notice however, that checking this is not as trivial as before (but not difficult either).

Probably the most interesting example of this sort is the following.

Example 33. A special tower equivalent to a Suslin tower.

Let κ be an uncountable regular cardinal. We construct a forcing which adds a pair of equivalent towers of length κ, one of them being special and the other one Suslin (in a strong sense).

A condition is a sequence $p = \left(F_p, n_p, \left\langle T_p^\alpha, S_p^\alpha \right\rangle_{\alpha \in F_p}\right)$, where $F_p \in [\kappa]^{<\omega}$, $n_p < \omega$, and $T_p^\alpha, S_p^\alpha \subseteq n_p$ for each $\alpha \in F_p$, and $S_p^\alpha \not\subseteq S_p^\beta$ for $\alpha < \beta \in F_p$.

A condition q is stronger than p if $n_p \leq n_q$, $F_p \subseteq F_q$, $T_q^\alpha \cap n_p = T_p^\alpha$, $S_q^\alpha \cap n_p = S_p^\alpha$ for $\alpha \in F_p$ and for each $\alpha, \beta \in F_p$, $\alpha < \beta$ and $i \in [n_p, n_q)$

$$\text{if } i \in T_q^\alpha \cup S_q^\alpha \text{ then } i \in T_q^\beta \cap S_q^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \text{if } i \in T_q^\alpha \text{ then } i \in S_q^\alpha.$$

It is easy to see that for each $\alpha < \kappa$ the set $\{p \colon \alpha \in F_p\}$ is dense and hence this forcing adds a couple of equivalent towers of length κ^V $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\kappa}$ and $(S_\alpha)_{\alpha<\kappa}$ defined by $T_\alpha = \bigcup_{p \in G} T_p^\alpha$ and $S_\alpha = \bigcup_{p \in G} S_p^\alpha$ for $\alpha < \kappa$.

The tower $(S_\alpha)_{\alpha<\kappa}$ satisfies condition (K). On the other hand $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\kappa}$ is far from being special.

Claim. Every uncountable subtower of $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\kappa}$ *is a Suslin tower.*

Consider a name $\dot{X}$ for an uncountable subset of κ and a condition p. There is an uncountable set

$$X = \left\{\alpha < \kappa \colon \exists p_\alpha < p,\ \alpha \in F_{p_\alpha},\ p_\alpha \Vdash \alpha \in \dot{X}\right\}.$$

Use Δ-lemma to find an uncountable set I such that $\{F_{p_\alpha} \colon \alpha \in I\}$ forms a 'nice' Δ-system with core Δ. Each $F_{p_\alpha}, \alpha \in I$ is split into blocks

$$F_{p_\alpha} = F_\alpha^0 \cup \Delta^0 \cup F_\alpha^1 \cup \Delta^1 \cup \ldots \cup F_\alpha^{k-1} \cup \Delta^{k-1},$$

$\Delta = \bigcup \Delta^i$, $\max F_\alpha^i < \min \Delta^i$, $\max \Delta^{i-1} < \min F_\alpha^i$, $\max F_\alpha^i < \min F_\beta^i$ and

$$F_\alpha^i = \left\{\xi_0^i(\alpha) < \xi_1^i(\alpha) < \ldots < \xi_{j(i)-1}^i(\alpha)\right\}$$

for each $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ and $i < k$. (F_α^0 and Δ^{k-1} may be empty.)

We may moreover assume that n_{p_α}, $T_{p_\alpha}^{\xi_m^i(\alpha)}$ and $S_{p_\alpha}^{\xi_m^i(\alpha)}$ are constant (ranging over $\alpha \in I$) for each $i < k, m < j(i)$ and that there are $J, M \in \omega$ such that $\alpha = \xi_M^J(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in I$.

Pick any $\alpha < \beta \in I$ and define condition q by $F_q = F_{p_\alpha} \cup F_{p_\beta}$, $n_q = n_{p_\alpha} + k + 1$ and define

- for $i < J$ and $\chi \in F_\alpha^i \cup \Delta^i$ let
$$T_q^\chi = T_{p_\alpha}^\chi \cup [n_p, n_p + i + 1) \text{ and } S_q^\chi = S_{p_\alpha}^\chi \cup [n_p, n_p + i + 1).$$
- for $i < J$ and $\chi \in F_\beta^i$ let
$$T_q^\chi = T_{p_\beta}^\chi \cup [n_p, n_p + i) \text{ and } S_q^\chi = S_{p_\beta}^\chi \cup [n_p, n_p + i).$$
- for $i > J$ and $\chi \in F_\alpha^i \cup \Delta^i$ let
$$T_q^\chi = T_{p_\alpha}^\chi \cup [n_p, n_p + i + 2) \text{ and } S_q^\chi = S_{p_\alpha}^\chi \cup [n_p, n_p + i + 2).$$
- for $i > J$ and $\chi \in F_\beta^i$ let
$$T_q^\chi = T_{p_\beta}^\chi \cup [n_p, n_p + i + 1) \text{ and } S_q^\chi = S_{p_\beta}^\chi \cup [n_p, n_p + i + 1).$$

- for $\chi \in \Delta^J$ let
$$T^\chi_q = T^\chi_{p_\alpha} \cup [n_p, n_p + J + 2) \text{ and } S^\chi_q = S^\chi_{p_\alpha} \cup [n_p, n_p + J + 2).$$
- for $m < M$ and $\chi = \xi^J_m(\alpha)$ let
$$T^\chi_q = T^\chi_{p_\alpha} \cup [n_p, n_p + J + 1) \text{ and } S^\chi_q = S^\chi_{p_\alpha} \cup [n_p, n_p + J + 1).$$
- for $m < M$ and $\chi = \xi^J_m(\beta)$ let
$$T^\chi_q = T^\chi_{p_\beta} \cup [n_p, n_p + J) \text{ and } S^\chi_q = S^\chi_{p_\beta} \cup [n_p, n_p + J).$$
- for $m > M$ and $\chi = \xi^J_m(\alpha)$ let
$$T^\chi_q = T^\chi_{p_\alpha} \cup [n_p, n_p + J + 2) \text{ and } S^\chi_q = S^\chi_{p_\alpha} \cup [n_p, n_p + J + 2).$$
- for $m > M$ and $\chi = \xi^J_m(\beta)$ let
$$T^\chi_q = T^\chi_{p_\beta} \cup [n_p, n_p + J + 1) \text{ and } S^\chi_q = S^\chi_{p_\beta} \cup [n_p, n_p + J + 1).$$
- for $\chi = \xi^J_M(\alpha)$ let
$$T^\chi_q = T^\chi_{p_\alpha} \cup [n_p, n_p + J + 1) \text{ and } S^\chi_q = S^\chi_{p_\alpha} \cup [n_p, n_p + J + 2).$$
- for $\chi = \xi^J_M(\beta)$ let
$$T^\chi_q = T^\chi_{p_\beta} \cup [n_p, n_p + J + 1) \text{ and } S^\chi_q = S^\chi_{p_\beta} \cup [n_p, n_p + J + 1).$$

To show that q is a condition of $\mathbb{P}$ is straightforward but laborious. Condition q is a common extension of both p_α and p_β, $q \Vdash \alpha, \beta \in \dot X$ and $q \Vdash \dot T_\alpha \subseteq \dot T_\beta$. $\square$

This forcing is equivalent to $\mathbb{C}_\kappa$. To check this, use the same strategy as in Proposition 31. Define $\mathbb{P}_\alpha = \{q \in \mathbb{P} \colon F_q \subseteq \alpha \cdot \omega\}$ for $\alpha < \kappa$ and let $\mathfrak{A}_\alpha$ be the Boolean algebra generated by $\mathbb{P}_\alpha$. For $\gamma < \beta$ and $q \in \mathbb{P}_\beta$ define a pseudo-projection $p(q) \in \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ as follows. First find a set $F \subseteq \gamma \cdot \omega$ such that $|F| = |F_q \setminus (\gamma \cdot \omega)|$ and $F_q \cap (\gamma \cdot \omega) < F$, and fix an order preserving bijection $b \colon F_q \setminus (\gamma \cdot \omega) \to F$. Define

$$p(q) = \left(F_{p(q)} = \left(F_q \cap (\gamma \cdot \omega) \right) \cup F, n_q + 1, \left\langle T^\alpha_{p(q)}, S^\alpha_{p(q)} \right\rangle_{\alpha \in F_{p(q)}} \right),$$

where $\left(T^\alpha_{p(q)}, S^\alpha_{p(q)}\right) = \left(T^\alpha_q, S^\alpha_q\right)$ for $\alpha \notin F$ and $\left(T^\alpha_{p(q)}, S^\alpha_{p(q)}\right) = \left(T^{b^{-1}(\alpha)}_q, S^{b^{-1}(\alpha)}_q\right)$ for $\alpha \in F$.

We will sketch the proof that $p(q)$ is a pseudo-projection. Suppose that $r < p(q)$ and $r \in \mathbb{P}_\gamma$. We want to find $s \in \mathbb{P}_\beta$ such that $s < r$ and $s < q$. Let $F_s = F_r \cup F_q$, $n_s = n_r + 1$. If $\xi \leq \max(F_q \cap (\gamma \cdot \omega))$ or $\xi \geq \gamma \cdot \omega$ let $n_r \notin T^\xi_s \cup S^\xi_s$ and for $\xi \in (\max(F_q \cap (\gamma \cdot \omega)), \gamma \cdot \omega)$ let $n_r \in T^\xi_s \cap S^\xi_s$. We have to show that for each $\xi \in F_r$ and $\eta \in F_q$ we have $S^\xi_s \nsubseteq S^\eta_s$. If $\xi \in (\max(F_q \cap (\gamma \cdot \omega)), \gamma \cdot \omega)$, then $n_r \in S^\xi_s \setminus S^\eta_s$. If $\xi \leq \max(F_q \cap (\gamma \cdot \omega))$, then $S^\xi_s \nsubseteq S^\eta_s$ since $S^\xi_r \nsubseteq S^{b^{-1}(\eta)}_r$. Hence, $s \in \mathbb{P}_\beta$. It is easy to check that $s < r$ and $s < q$.

This example ruins the natural suspicion that each special tower is in fact Hausdorff (since a Hausdorff tower cannot be equivalent to a Suslin tower). Moreover, it proves that the property of being special, unlike the Hausdorff property, is not invariant under tower equivalence (cf. Proposition 12).

In the next section we provide another example of a tower of this kind: a tower which is neither Hausdorff nor equivalent to a Suslin tower.

Notice that most of the examples presented in this section exist in models obtained by adding ω_1 Cohen reals. It seems that the structure of towers is particularly rich in such models. We will show that adding ω_1 Cohen reals produces also plenty of quite peculiar gaps.

6. STRUCTURE OF GAPS AFTER ADDING ω_1 COHEN REALS

One of the most natural questions relating to destructibility of gaps is if the class of special (ω_1,ω_1)-gaps coincides with the class of Hausdorff gaps. It was posed in [Sch93] as Problem 2. Since one can distinguish another property, lying in between of the above ones, we can ask more specifically:

Problem 34. [Sch93, Problem 1] *Is every special gap left-oriented?*

Problem 35. *Is every left-oriented gap equivalent to a Hausdorff gap?*

Hirschorn in [Hir] answered Scheeper's problem. More precisely, he gave an example of a left-oriented gap which is not equivalent to any Hausdorff gap, so he answered in negative Problem 35. It turns out that the answer to Problem 34 is also negative.

Theorem 36. *There is a special gap which is not left-oriented.*

First, we give an example which relies only on simple facts and known results. In particular, we need the following theorem due to Roitman:

Theorem 37 ([Roi79], [Roi88]). *Adding a single Cohen real to a model satisfying* $\mathsf{MA}(\sigma\text{-centered})$ *preserves* $\mathsf{MA}(\sigma\text{-centered})$*.*

Example 38. Inverted Spasojević gap.

We work in a model of $\mathsf{MA}(\sigma\text{-centered})$. Using Proposition 29 and the above theorem we can add a Cohen real and get a Suslin tower $(R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ in the extension without loosing $\mathsf{MA}(\sigma\text{-centered})$. Of course, the tower cannot be maximal since $\mathfrak{t}>\omega_1$. Now Theorem 25 gives us a special gap $(L_\alpha,R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ fulfilling condition (O). Consider the gap $(R_\alpha,L_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$. Inverting the sides of an indestructible gap cannot make it destructible, so $(R_\alpha,L_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is still special. However, it cannot be left-oriented. Indeed, in this case Proposition 9 would imply that $(R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is special. But it is Suslin.

The reader perhaps wonder if the gap $(L_\alpha,R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ introduced by forcing from Theorem 25 is Hausdorff. We will show that it is not. Actually, Example 42 shows that gaps introduced by Spasojević's forcing are left-oriented but not Hausdorff. Thus, to obtain a special and non-Hausdorff gap we do not need to invert the gap in Example 38. As a corollary we obtain that left-oriented gaps are not necessarily right-oriented. The next example will show that the Hausdorff condition for gaps is not symmetric either, i.e. there is a Hausdorff gap, such that its "inversion" is not Hausdorff. We start Hechler's machinery, again.

Example 39. "Asymmetric" Hausdorff gap.

We will define a forcing $\mathbb{P}$ consisting of conditions of the form

$$p=\left(F_p,n_p,\left\langle L_p^\alpha,R_p^\alpha\right\rangle_{\alpha\in F_p}\right),$$

where

(1) $F_p\in[\omega_1]^{<\omega}$;
(2) $n_p<\omega$;
(3) $L_p^\alpha,R_p^\alpha\subseteq n_p$ for each $\alpha\in F_p$;

(4) $L^\alpha_p \cap R^\alpha_p = \emptyset$ for each $\alpha \in F_p$.

A condition q is stronger than p if

(a) $n_p \le n_q$ and $F_p \subseteq F_q$;
(b) $L^\alpha_q \cap n_p = L^\alpha_p$, $R^\alpha_q \cap n_p = R^\alpha_p$ for $\alpha \in F_p$;
(c) for each $\alpha, \beta \in F_p$, $\alpha < \beta$ and $i \in [n_p, n_q)$

$$\text{if } i \in L^\alpha_q \text{ then } i \in L^\beta_q \quad\text{and}\quad \text{if } i \in R^\alpha_q \text{ then } i \in R^\beta_q;$$

(d) for each $\alpha \in F_p$ and $\xi \in F_q \setminus F_p$, $\xi < \alpha$ there is some $i \ge n_p$ such that $i \in L^\xi_q \cap R^\alpha_q$.

It is easy to see that for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ the set $\{p\colon \alpha \in F_p\}$ is dense. Let G be a $\mathbb{P}$-generic filter, and let $L_\alpha = \bigcup_{p\in G} L^\alpha_p$ and $R_\alpha = \bigcup_{p\in G} R^\alpha_p$ for $\alpha < \omega_1$. Then $(L_\alpha, R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is Hausdorff, provided $\mathbb{P}$ preserves ω_1

Claim. *$\mathbb{P}$ is equivalent to adding ω_1 Cohen reals.*

As in Proposition 31, the $\mathbb{P}_\beta$ consists of conditions $q = \left(F_q, n_q, \left\langle L^\alpha_q, R^\alpha_q \right\rangle_{\alpha\in F_q}\right)$ with $F_q \subseteq \beta$ and the algebra $\mathfrak{A}_\beta$ is generated by $\mathbb{P}_\beta$. The pseudo-projection $p\colon \mathbb{P}_\beta \to \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ is defined by

$$p(q) = \left(F_q \cap \gamma, n_q, \left\langle L^\alpha_q, R^\alpha_q \right\rangle_{\alpha\in F_q\cap\gamma}\right).$$

□

Claim. *$(R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is a Suslin tower.*

Consider a name $\dot X$ for an uncountable subset of ω_1 and a condition $p \in \mathbb{P}$. There is an uncountable set

$$X = \left\{\alpha < \omega_1 \colon \exists p_\alpha < p,\ \alpha \in F_{p_\alpha},\ p_\alpha \Vdash \alpha \in \dot X\right\}.$$

We will proceed in the same way as in the examples from the previous section. Use Δ-lemma to find an uncountable set I such that $\{F_{p_\alpha}\colon \alpha \in I\}$ forms a Δ-system with core Δ, $\max\Delta < \min F_{p_\alpha} \setminus \Delta$ for $\alpha \in I$ and n_{p_α} is constant for $\alpha \in I$. We may assume that $\max F_{p_\alpha} < \min F_{p_\beta}$ for $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$. We can further refine I to an uncountable I' so that both $L^\xi_{p_\alpha}$ and $R^\xi_{p_\alpha}$ are constant for all $\xi \in \Delta$. Pick any $\alpha < \beta \in I' \setminus \Delta$ and define a condition q by $F_q = F_{p_\alpha} \cup F_{p_\beta}$, $n_q = n_{p_\alpha} + 1$,

(i) $L^\xi_q = L^\xi_{p_\alpha}$ and $R^\xi_q = R^\xi_{p_\alpha}$ for $\xi \in \Delta$,
(ii) $L^\xi_q = L^\xi_{p_\alpha} \cup \{n_p\}$ and $R^\xi_q = R^\xi_{p_\alpha}$ for $\xi \in F_{p_\alpha} \setminus \Delta$,
(iii) $L^\xi_q = L^\xi_{p_\beta}$ and $R^\xi_q = R^\xi_{p_\beta} \cup \{n_p\}$ for $\xi \in F_{p_\beta} \setminus \Delta$.

Condition q is a common extension of both p_α and p_β, $q \Vdash \alpha, \beta \in \dot X$ and $q \Vdash \dot R_\alpha \subseteq \dot R_\beta$.

To show that $\mathbb{P}$ is ccc, we should do the same reductions for an arbitrary uncountable set of conditions. □

We will show now another example witnessing negative answer for Problem 34.

Example 40. Special gap which is neither left- nor right-oriented.

We will define a forcing $\mathbb{P}$ similar to the poset from the previous example (and also equivalent to $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$). A condition $p \in \mathbb{P}$ is of the form $p = \left(F_p, n_p, \left(L^\alpha_p, R^\alpha_p\right)_{\alpha\in F_p}\right)$ and it should satisfy the properties (1–4) from Example 39. We want to impose the following additional restriction:

- $\left(L_p^\alpha \cap R_p^\beta\right) \cup \left(L_p^\beta \cap R_p^\alpha\right) \neq \emptyset$ for each $\alpha < \beta \in F_p$.

The ordering on $\mathbb{P}$ is defined by conditions (a–c) from the previous example.

Let G be a $\mathbb{P}$-generic filter. Put $L_\alpha = \bigcup_{p\in G} L_p^\alpha$ and $R_\alpha = \bigcup_{p\in G} R_p^\alpha$ for $\alpha < \omega_1$. It is clear that $\left(L_\alpha, R_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is a special gap.

Claim. Both $\left(L_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ and $\left(R_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ are Suslin towers.

We only prove it for the right side. Consider a name $\dot{X}$ for an uncountable subset of ω_1 and a condition p. There is an uncountable set

$$X = \left\{\alpha < \omega_1 \colon \exists p_\alpha < p,\ \alpha \in F_{p_\alpha},\ p_\alpha \Vdash \alpha \in \dot{X}\right\}.$$

Now proceed in the same way as in Example 39 to get an uncountable set $I \subseteq X$. We may further suppose that $R_{p_\alpha}^\alpha$ is constant for each $\alpha \in I$. Pick $\alpha < \beta \in I \setminus \Delta$ and define a condition q by $F_q = F_{p_\alpha} \cup F_{p_\beta}$, $n_q = n_{p_\alpha} + 1$, and by (i–iii) from the previous example. Condition q is a common extension of both p_α and p_β, $q \Vdash \alpha, \beta \in \dot{X}$ and $q \Vdash \dot{R_\alpha} \subseteq \dot{R_\beta}$. □

The proof that this forcing is equivalent to $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$ works in a similar way as in Example 33. Let $\mathfrak{A}_\beta$ be generated by conditions q such that $F_q \subseteq \beta \cdot \omega$ and define the pseudo-projection in the same way as in Example 33.

Now we will show that consistently there is a gap giving answers to both questions from the beginning of this section.

Theorem 41. *In a model obtained by adding ω_1 Cohen reals there is a gap $\left(L_\alpha, R_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ such that*

- *$\left(L_\alpha, R_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is left-oriented but not Hausdorff;*
- *$\left(R_\alpha, L_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is special but not left-oriented.*

Proof. We will define a forcing notion equivalent to adding ω_1 Cohen reals which forces the existence of the desired gap. A condition in $\mathbb{P}$ is a sequence

$$p = \left(F_p, n_p, \langle L_p^\alpha, R_p^\alpha\rangle_{\alpha\in F_p}\right),$$

satisfying properties (1–4) of Example 39 and such that additionally

- $L_p^\alpha \cap R_p^\beta \neq \emptyset$ for each $\alpha < \beta \in F_p$.

The ordering on $\mathbb{P}$ is defined by (a–c) of Example 39.

As in the previous examples it is easy to see that $\mathbb{P}$ adds a generic gap, which is left-oriented (provided ω_1 is preserved).

Claim. *$\mathbb{P}$ is equivalent to $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$ (and so it is ccc).*

This is exactly the same proof as in Example 40 (which is in turn similar to the proof from Example 33). □

Claim. *The tower $(L_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is not Hausdorff and the tower $(R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is Suslin.*

We will prove both of the statements simultaneously.

We need to show that there is no cofinal subtower $(L_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\dot{X}}$ satisfying condition (H). For a contradiction, consider a name $\dot{X}$ for an uncountable subset of ω_1 and suppose that some condition p forces that $(L_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\dot{X}}$ satisfies (H). We will show that this leads to a contradiction, and thus $(L_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is not Hausdorff. Moreover, we will prove that there is $q < p$ and $\alpha < \beta \in \dot{X}$ such that $q \Vdash \dot{R}_\alpha \subseteq \dot{R}_\beta$, showing that $(R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is Suslin.

There is an uncountable set

$$I = \left\{ \alpha < \omega_1 \colon \exists p_\alpha < p, \alpha \in F_{p_\alpha}, p_\alpha \Vdash \alpha \in \dot{X} \right\}.$$

We may assume that $\Delta < F'_\alpha = F_{p_\alpha} \setminus \Delta$ and $n_{p_\alpha} = n$ is constant for $\alpha \in I$. Moreover $F'_\alpha < F'_\beta$ for $\alpha < \beta$ and $L^\xi_{p_\alpha}$ and $R^\xi_{p_\alpha}$ are constant for all $\xi \in \Delta$. For some $\ell < \omega$ we have $F'_\alpha = \{\xi^0_\alpha < \xi^1_\alpha < \ldots < \xi^{\ell-1}_\alpha\}$ for each α and $L^{\xi^i_\alpha}_{p_\alpha} = L^{\xi^i_\beta}_{p_\beta}$, $R^{\xi^i_\alpha}_{p_\alpha} = R^{\xi^i_\beta}_{p_\beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in I$, $i \in \ell$.

Let α_0 be the first element of I and let $\beta \in I$ be some ordinal with infinitely many predecessors in I. Define condition q by $F_q = F_{\alpha_0} \cup F_\beta$, $n_q = n + 1$ and

- $L^\xi_q = L^\xi_{p_\beta}$ for $\xi \in F_{p_\beta}$,
- $L^\xi_q = L^\xi_{p_{\alpha_0}} \cup \{n\}$ for $\xi \in F'_{\alpha_0}$,
- $R^\xi_q = R^\xi_{p_{\alpha_0}}$ for $\xi \in F_{p_{\alpha_0}}$,
- $R^\xi_q = R^\xi_{p_\beta} \cup \{n\}$ for $\xi \in F'_\beta$.

It is straightforward to check that $q \in \mathbb{P}$ and $q < p_{\alpha_0}, p_\beta$. Notice also that $q \Vdash \dot{R}_{\alpha_0} \subseteq \dot{R}_\beta$ (so, at this point we already know that $(R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is Suslin). Now, according to our assumption on $\dot{X}$, there exist some $k < \omega$ and a condition $r < q$ such that

$$r \Vdash \left| \left\{ \alpha \in \dot{X} \cap \beta \colon L_\alpha \setminus L_\beta \subseteq n+1 \right\} \right| < k.$$

Since F_r is finite, we can find $\{\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \ldots < \alpha_k\} \subseteq I \cap \beta$, such that $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1$ and

$$F_r \cap [\min F'_{\alpha_1}, \max F'_{\alpha_k}] = \emptyset.$$

Define condition s by $F_s = F_r \cup \bigcup_{j<k} F'_{\alpha_j}$, $n_s = n_r + k$ and

- $L^\xi_s = L^\xi_r$ for $\xi \in F_r$, $\xi \leq \max \Delta$,
- $L^\xi_s = L^\xi_r \cup \{n_r\}$ for $\xi \in F_r$, $\max \Delta < \xi < \min F'_{\alpha_1}$,
- $L^\xi_s = L^\xi_r \cup \left[n_r, n_r + k\right)$ for $\xi \in F_r$, $\max F'_{\alpha_k} < \xi$,
- $L^{\xi^i_{\alpha_j}}_s = (L^{\xi^i_{\alpha_0}}_r \cup \{n_r + j\}) \cap n_s$ for $i < \ell$, $(j-1) < k$,
- $R^\xi_s = R^\xi_r$ for $\xi \in F_r$,
- $R^{\xi^i_{\alpha_j}}_s = R^{\xi^i_{\alpha_0}}_r \cup \left[n_r, n_r + j\right)$ for $i < \ell$, $(j-1) < k$.

It is a little bit laborious, but not difficult, to verify that $s \in \mathbb{P}$ and $s < r$, $s < p_{\alpha_j}$ for each $j < k+1$. Hence

$$s \Vdash \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, \beta\} \subseteq \dot{X}.$$

Moreover $L^{\alpha_j}_s \setminus L^\beta_s = \{n\}$ for each $j < k+1$, and thus $s \Vdash L_{\alpha_j} \setminus L_\beta = \{n\}$. But this is a contradiction with $s < r$. □

Now, notice that from Proposition 8 it follows that $(L_\alpha, R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is not Hausdorff (but it is left-oriented). Moreover, the gap $(R_\alpha, L_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is still special, but Proposition 9 implies that it cannot be left-oriented. □

In fact, slightly modifying the above proof, we can show that the original (σ-centered) forcing of Spasojevič from [Spa96] also produces a left-oriented but non-Hausdorff gap.

Example 42. Left-oriented gap not equivalent to any Hausdorff gap.

Let $\mathscr{R} = \{R_\alpha \colon \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a given tower. Spasojevič introduced a σ-centered forcing $\mathbb{P}$ adding a tower $(L_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ such that $(L_\alpha, R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is an oriented gap. We will show that the tower $(L_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is not Hausdorff.

A condition in $\mathbb{P}$ is a sequence

$$p = \left(F_p, n_p, \left(L_p^\alpha\right)_{\alpha \in F_p}\right)$$

(1) $F_p \in [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$;
(2) $n_p < \omega$;
(3) $L_p^\alpha \subseteq n_p$ for each $\alpha \in F_p$;
(4) $L_p^\alpha \cap R_\alpha = \emptyset$ and $L_p^\alpha \cap R_\beta \neq \emptyset$ for each $\alpha < \beta \in F_p$
(5) $R_\alpha \setminus R_\beta \subseteq n_p$ for each $\alpha < \beta \in F_p$.

A condition q is stronger than p if

(a) $n_p \leq n_q$ and $F_p \subseteq F_q$;
(b) $L_q^\alpha \cap n_p = L_p^\alpha$ for $\alpha \in F_p$;
(c) for each $\alpha, \beta \in F_p$, $\alpha < \beta$ we have $L_q^\alpha \cap \left[n_p, n_q\right) \subseteq L_q^\beta$.

Claim. $\mathbb{P}$ is σ-centered.

Proof. This is [Spa96, Proposition 8] □

In the generic extension define $L_\alpha = \bigcup_{p \in G} L_p^\alpha$. Now $(L_\alpha, R_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ is an oriented gap.

Claim. The tower $(L_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is not Hausdorff. Consequently, the gap $(L_\alpha, R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is not equivalent to a Hausdorff gap.

Proof. For a contradiction, take a name $\dot{X}$ for an uncountable subset of ω_1 and assume that some condition p forces that $(L_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \dot{X}}$ satisfies (H).

There is an uncountable set

$$I = \left\{\alpha < \omega_1 : \exists p_\alpha < p, \alpha \in F_{p_\alpha}, p_\alpha \Vdash \alpha \in \dot{X}\right\}.$$

Fix some large enough cardinal θ and countable elementary submodels $M, N \prec H(\theta)$, $I, \mathscr{R} \in N, M$ such that $M \in N$. Notice that $M \subseteq N$ (since M is countable), and $M \neq N$. Fix some $\alpha_0 \in I \cap N \setminus M$.

By passing to a subset we can suppose that all conditions p_α for $\alpha \in I$ are isomorphic to p_{α_0} and form a 'nice' Δ-system with core $\Delta = F_{\alpha_0} \cap M$. In particular, we assume that $\Delta < F'_\alpha = F_{p_\alpha} \setminus \Delta$ and $n_{p_\alpha} = n_{p_0} = n$ for $\alpha \in I$. Moreover $F'_\alpha < F'_\beta$ for $\alpha < \beta$ and $L^\xi_{p_\alpha}$ and $R^\xi_{p_\alpha}$ are constant for all $\xi \in \Delta$. For some $\ell < \omega$ we have $F'_\alpha = \{\xi^0_\alpha < \xi^1_\alpha < \ldots < \xi^{\ell-1}_\alpha\}$ for each α and $L^{\xi^i_\alpha}_{p_\alpha} = L^{\xi^i_\beta}_{p_\beta}$, $R^{\xi^i_\alpha}_{p_\alpha} = R^{\xi^i_\beta}_{p_\beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in I$, $i < \ell$.

For $\alpha \in I$ denote

$$\overline{R}_\alpha = \bigcup \left\{R_\xi : \xi \in F'_\alpha\right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{R}_\alpha = \bigcap \left\{R_\xi : \xi \in F'_\alpha\right\}.$$

Fix $\beta \in I \setminus N$, there is some $n_0 > n$, $n_0 \in \underline{R}_\beta$ such that $n_0 \notin \overline{R}_{\alpha_0}$. Define a condition q by $F_q = F_{\alpha_0} \cup F_\beta$, $n_q = \max\left(n_0, \overline{R}_{\alpha_0} \setminus \underline{R}_\beta\right) + 1$ and

- $L^\xi_q = L^\xi_{p_\beta}$ for $\xi \in F_\beta$
- $L^\xi_q = L^\xi_{p_{\alpha_0}} \cup \{n_0\}$ for $\xi \in F'_{\alpha_0}$

Thus $q < p_{\alpha_0}, p_\beta$. There exist some $k < \omega$ and a condition $r < q$ such that

$$r \Vdash \left|\left\{\alpha \in \dot{X} \cap \beta : L_\alpha \setminus L_\beta \subseteq n_q\right\}\right| < k$$

Denote $A = F_r \cap N$, $B = F_r \setminus N$ and $R_A = \bigcup\{R_\xi : \xi \in A\}$, $R_B = \bigcup\{R_\xi : \xi \in B\}$, $R_r = R_A \cup R_B$.

Claim. There exist a sequence $\{\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \ldots < \alpha_k\} \subseteq I \cap N$, $\max A < \min F'_{\alpha_1}$ *such that* $R_{\xi^i_{\alpha_j}} \cap n_r = R_{\xi^i_{\alpha_0}} \cap n_r$ *for* $j \leq k$, $i < \ell$, *and a sequence* $\{n_j : j \leq k\} \subseteq \omega \setminus R_r$ *such that for* $j \leq k, i \leq k$ *we have* $n_j \in \underline{R}_{\alpha_i}$ *if* $j \leq i$ *and* $n_j \not\in \overline{R}_{\alpha_i}$ *if* $j > i$.

Proof. First refine I to I_0, such that $R_{\xi^i_\alpha} \cap n_r = R_{\xi^i_{\alpha_0}} \cap n_r$ for each $\alpha \in I_0$ and $i < \ell$. Notice that $I_0 \in M$ since $I \in M$ and the refinement procedure is definable. Moreover, $|I_0| = \omega_1$. Otherwise, $I_0 \subseteq M$ and, in particular, $\alpha_0 \in M$.

To choose α_1, consider the increasing tower $\left\{\underline{R}_\alpha \setminus R_A : \alpha \in I_0\right\} \in N$. This tower is not bounded by the set R_B hence there exist some $\alpha'_1 \notin N$ and n_1 such that $n_1 \in \underline{R}_{\alpha'_1} \setminus R_r$. Define $I_1 = \left\{\alpha \in I_0 : n_1 \in \underline{R}_\alpha\right\} \in N$. Since $N \prec H(\theta)$ and $\alpha'_1 \notin N$, the set I_1 is uncountable. Pick any $\alpha_1 \in I_1 \cap N$ such that $\max A < \min F'_{\alpha_1}$.

Suppose that $\alpha_j, I_j \in N$ are defined for some $j < k$. Put $Z = R_A \cup \bigcup\left\{\overline{R}_{\alpha_i} : i \leq j\right\}$. Consider the tower $\left\{\underline{R}_\alpha \setminus Z : \alpha \in I_j\right\} \in N$. This tower is not bounded by R_B hence there exist some $\alpha'_{j+1} \notin N$ and n_{j+1} such that $n_{j+1} \in \underline{R}_{\alpha'_{j+1}} \setminus Z$. Define

$$I_{j+1} = \left\{\alpha \in I_j : n_{j+1} \in \underline{R}_\alpha\right\} \in N.$$

Again, since $N \prec H(\theta)$ and $\alpha'_{j+1} \notin N$, the set I_{j+1} is uncountable. Pick any $\alpha_{j+1} \in I_{j+1} \cap N$, $\alpha_{j+1} > \alpha_j$. □

Define condition s by $F_s = F_r \cup \bigcup_{j<k} F'_{\alpha_j}$, $n_s > \max\{n_i : i \leq k\} + n_r$,

- $L^\xi_s = L^\xi_r$ for $\xi \in F_r$, $\xi \leq \max \Delta$;
- $L^\xi_s = L^\xi_r \cup \bigcup\left\{n_j : j \leq k\right\}$ for $\xi \in F_r$, $\max \Delta < \xi$;
- $L_s^{\xi^i_{\alpha_j}} = L_r^{\xi^i_{\alpha_0}} \cup \{n_{j+1}\}$ for $i < \ell$, $j < k$;
- $L_s^{\xi^i_{\alpha_k}} = L_r^{\xi^i_{\alpha_0}}$ for $i < \ell$.

It is a little bit laborious, but not difficult, to verify that $s \in \mathbb{P}$ and $s < r$, $s < p_{\alpha_j}$ for each $j \leq k$. Now,

$$s \Vdash \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, \beta\} \subseteq \dot{X}.$$

Moreover $L^{\alpha_j}_s \setminus L^\beta_s = \{n_0\}$ for each $j \leq k$ and so $s \Vdash L_{\alpha_j} \setminus L_\beta = \{n_0\}$. This is a contradiction with $s < r$. □

Theorem 41 together with Proposition 9 immediately gives us the corollary promised in the previous section:

Corollary 43. *In models obtained by adding* ω_1 *Cohen reals there is an* ω_1*-tower not equivalent neither to a Hausdorff nor to a Suslin tower.*

Remark 44. *Perhaps the left half of the gap constructed by Hirschorn in* [Hir] *also has the above property. Hirschorn showed that in the model obtained by adding* ω_1 *random reals, one can generically add a gap* $(L_\alpha, R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$, *which is left-oriented but not Hausdorff. Hence,* $(L_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ *cannot be equivalent to a Suslin tower. To show that* $(L_\alpha, R_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ *is not Hausdorff, Hirschorn used a certain fact based on Gilles theorem (*[Hir, Lemma 5.5]*). This fact can be immediately modified for the case of towers in the following way. Assume that* $(\mathscr{R}, \lambda)$ *is the random algebra with the standard measure and* $(\dot{T}_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ *is an* $\mathscr{R}$*-name for a tower. If there is a function* $h\colon \omega \to \mathbb{R}^+$ *converging to 0 such that*

$$\lambda\left(\|\dot{T}_\alpha \subseteq \dot{T}_\beta \cup n\|\right) \leq h(n)$$

for each $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ and $n < \omega$, then $(\dot{T}_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is not Hausdorff. (Here $\|\varphi\|$ represents the Boolean value of the sentence φ). It does not seem, however, that $(L_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ satisfies this condition for any $h\colon \omega \to \mathbb{R}^+$ converging to 0.

7. TOWARDS A STRUCTURE THEORY: TUKEY ORDER ON TOWERS

Throughout this section we deal only with towers of length ω_1. As we have seen we can single out several classes of towers defined by its "inclusion structure". It is natural to ask if we can go further in this analysis. A research of this kind was done for ultrafilters in [DT] with the use of theory of Tukey types.

We present now basic facts concerning Tukey order. See [Tod85, DT] for more details and for the complete bibliography.

Definition 45. *Let $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{E}$ be directed sets. A function $g\colon \mathscr{D} \to \mathscr{E}$ is* Tukey, *if the image of every unbounded subset of $\mathscr{D}$ is unbounded in $\mathscr{E}$. In such case, we say that $\mathscr{E}$ is* Tukey above *$\mathscr{D}$ ($\mathscr{D} \le_T \mathscr{E}$). If $\mathscr{D} \ge_T \mathscr{E} \ge_T \mathscr{D}$, then $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{E}$ are said to be* Tukey equivalent, *$\mathscr{D} \equiv \mathscr{E}$.*

Proposition 46. *If $\mathscr{D}$, $\mathscr{E}$ are posets such that $\mathscr{D}$ is a cofinal subset of $\mathscr{E}$, then $\mathscr{D} \equiv \mathscr{E}$.*

Theorem 47. *(see [Tod85]) Let D be a directed poset of size at most ω_1. Then either $D \equiv 1$, or $D \equiv \omega$, or $D \equiv \omega_1$, or $[\omega_1]^{<\omega} \ge_T D \ge_T \omega \times \omega_1$. Moreover, under* MA *there are no Tukey types in between $\omega \times \omega_1$ and $[\omega_1]^{\omega}$.*

Now we have to agree on which emanations of towers we want to examine. Towers ordered by "$\subseteq$" are not satisfactory because we do not really want to pay attention to finite modifications of the levels. Also, it is more convenient to deal with directed sets. Structure theory for non-directed posets is available (see [Tod96b]), but seems to be a bit cumbersome. The right structure to study seems to be the ideal generated by the tower (and all finite subsets). As before, for a given tower $\mathscr{T}$ we will denote it by $\langle \mathscr{T} \rangle$, this time understanding it as the structure $(\langle \mathscr{T} \rangle, \subseteq)$

Before we prove the main result of this section, we will notice the following simple fact.

Proposition 48. *If $\mathscr{T}$ is a tower, then $\omega \times \omega_1 <_T \langle \mathscr{T} \rangle$.*

Proof. Suppose $f : \langle \mathscr{T} \rangle \to \omega \times \omega_1$. There is an uncountable subtower $\mathscr{S} = (S_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega}$ of $\mathscr{T}$ and $n < \omega$ such that $\pi_0(f(S)) = n$ for every S such that $S =^* S_\alpha$ for some $\alpha < \omega_1$. Find a countable subtower of $\mathscr{S}$ which is $\subseteq$-unbounded. Its image under f is bounded, so f cannot witness $\omega \times \omega_1 \le_T \langle \mathscr{T} \rangle$. □

Hence, Theorem 47 implies that under MA there is only one Tukey type of ω_1-towers.

Corollary 49. *Every ideal generated by a tower is Tukey top under* MA.

This should be contrasted with the following.

Theorem 50. *There are $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ many incomparable Tukey classes represented by tower ideals under* CH.

Proof. According to [DT, Corollary 23] there are $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ many incomparable Tukey types of P-points. Each P-point is generated by a tower filter (which is its cofinal subset). Now use Proposition 46. □

Theorem 51. *A tower $\mathscr{T}$ is Hausdorff iff $\langle \mathscr{T} \rangle \equiv [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a cofinal subtower of $\mathscr{T}$ satisfying (H). We will show that each infinite subset of $\mathscr{H}$ is unbounded in $\langle\mathscr{T}\rangle$ (and hence any injective map from $[\omega_1]^{<\omega}$ into $\mathscr{H}$ is Tukey). Pick any countable set $A = \{T_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \subseteq \mathscr{H}$ and suppose that $X \in \langle\mathscr{T}\rangle$ is an upper bound of A. There is some $T_\beta \in \mathscr{H}$, $\sup I < \beta$ and $n < \omega$ such that $X \subseteq T_\beta \cup n$. The set $\{\alpha \in A : T_\alpha \subseteq T_\beta \cup n\}$ is only finite since $\mathscr{H}$ satisfies (H). Thus there is some $\alpha \in A$ such that $T_\alpha \nsubseteq T_\beta \cup n$ and hence $T_\alpha \nsubseteq X$, a contradiction.

For the other direction, consider a Tukey map $f : [\omega_1]^{<\omega} \to \langle\mathscr{T}\rangle$. We may suppose without loss of generality that $f(\{\beta\}) \setminus f(\{\alpha\})$ is infinite iff $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$. We will show that the tower $\mathscr{S} = (f(\{\alpha\}))_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ has condition (H). For suppose that for some $\beta < \omega_1$ and $n < \omega$ the set $A = \{\alpha < \beta : f(\{\alpha\}) \setminus f(\{\beta\}) \subseteq n\}$ is infinite. Then $\{f(\{\alpha\}) : \alpha \in A\}$ is bounded by $f(\{\beta\}) \cup n$ in $\langle\mathscr{T}\rangle$, a contradiction. Notice that the towers $\mathscr{T}$ and $\mathscr{S}$ generate the same ideal and thus $\mathscr{T}$ is Hausdorff. □

Proposition 52. *Consistently, there are Suslin towers $\mathscr{T}^0$, $\mathscr{T}^1$ such that $\langle\mathscr{T}^0 \times \mathscr{T}^1\rangle$ is Tukey top.*

Proof. Consider a Hausdorff tower $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$. Then, in the model obtained by adding a Cohen real $c \subseteq \omega$ define $T^0_\alpha = T_\alpha \cap c$ and $T^1_\alpha = T_\alpha \setminus T^0_\alpha$. By Proposition 29 both of those towers are Suslin. Clearly, the ideal on $\omega \times \omega$ generated by their product is Tukey equivalent to the ideal generated by $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$. □

In fact, we can find countably many Suslin towers whose product generates a Tukey-top ideal in the same way (just see the Cohen real c as an element of ω^ω and define $T^n_\alpha = T_\alpha \cap c^{-1}[\{n\}]$). We do not know if the statement of the above proposition is true whenever there is a Suslin ω_1-tower. It would mean that the existence of Suslin ω_1-towers implies that ccc is not productive.

Notice that putting together Theorem 51, Proposition 48 and Theorem 47 we obtain an alternative proof of Corollary 19.

Corollary 53. *If $\mathscr{T}$ is a Suslin tower, then $\langle\mathscr{T}\rangle <_T [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$.*

The last fact is of course an immediate consequence of Theorem 51, but it can be proved directly using the fact that each uncountable subtower of a Suslin tower contains a $\subseteq$-chain of order type $\omega+1$. Indeed, according to Erdös-Dushnik-Miller theorem ($\omega_1 \to (\omega_1, \omega+1)^2$) we know that either there is an uncountable $\subseteq$-antichain in the subtower or a $\subseteq$-chain of length $\omega+1$. The first alternative is clearly not possible. It follows that uncountable well-ordered subsets of the ideals generated by Suslin towers have infinite bounded subsets, so they cannot be Tukey equivalent to $[\omega_1]^{<\omega}$.

Theorem 51 gives us one more useful information along these lines. It is not easy to point out a reason that a given tower is not Hausdorff other than the lack of uncountable $\subseteq$-antichain. Consider the following property of a tower $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$: *for every uncountable $X \subseteq \omega_1$ there is an infinite $I \subseteq X$ and $\alpha > \sup I$ such that $\bigcup_{\xi\in I} T_\xi \subseteq^* T_\alpha$*. By Theorem 51 this property is equivalent to saying that $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is not Hausdorff.

Tukey theory harmonizes with an intuition that Hausdorff property is in a sense more important than the property of possessing an uncountable $\subseteq$-antichain. It is not clear for us if there are other critical Tukey types of tower ideals.

Remark 54. *The above approach has a disadvantage. Generating an ideal can loose the information if the generating tower is Suslin. Instead of examining ideals generated by towers one can investigate the following structure $\langle\{T =^* T_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}, \subseteq, \cup, \cap\rangle$ for a given tower $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$. It is easy to see that the Suslinity is invariant under isomorphism of such* *lattices. However, towers generating the same ideal generate Tukey equivalent lattices, so the problem mentioned above appears again.*

8. QUESTIONS

In this section we collect some questions and open problems related to the topic of this paper.

Problem 55. *Does* OCA *implies that every* ω_1*-tower/gap is Hausdorff?*

The natural attempt to answer this question in negative would be to start with a model with a special non-Hausdorff tower/gap and show that forcing OCA preserves its non-Hausdorffness.

Every $\subseteq^*$-descending tower generates a filter in $\mathscr{P}(\omega)/\operatorname{Fin}$, so a closed subset of ω^*. It is natural to ask if the closed sets generated by Hausdorff towers possess some special properties.

Problem 56. *Is there some characterization of the Hausdorff property of towers in topological terms?*

Perhaps the next question can be solved using coherent sequences. They produce towers in a nice way, but it is not clear how to analyze the properties of resulting towers.

Problem 57. *Does* $\mathfrak{t} = \omega_1$ *implies that there is a maximal Hausdorff tower?*

Notice that the answer to the above is (consistently) negative if we can answer the following in positive:

Problem 58. *Is there a model in which every ideal generated by an* (ω_1*-)tower is dense only if it is non-meager?*

Note that this Problem for ω_1-towers is interesting only if we add the requirement $\mathfrak{t} = \omega_1$. The conjecture here is that there is no such model, i.e. a meager dense ω_1-generated P-ideal should be constructible from the assumption $\mathfrak{t} = \omega_1$. We know that in such model we would need to have have $\mathfrak{b} = \omega_1$ and $\operatorname{cov}^*(\mathscr{I}) > \omega_1$ for each dense analytic P-ideal $\mathscr{I}$.

A gap $\left(f_\alpha, g_\alpha\right)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ in $({}^\omega\omega, <^*)$ is *tight* if $\left(f_\alpha \restriction A, g_\alpha \restriction A\right)_{\alpha<\omega_1}$ is a gap in $({}^\omega A, <^*)$ for each infinite $A \subseteq \omega$. A positive answer for the following problem would provide a negative answer to Problem 58.

Problem 59. *Is the assumption* $\mathfrak{t} = \omega_1$ *equivalent with the existence of a tight gap in* $({}^\omega\omega, <^*)$.

In connection with the previous problem, let us mention that the Borel weak diamond principle $\diamondsuit(2, =)$ of [MHD04] implies the existence of a tight gap. In fact, it even implies the existence of a peculiar gap (see [She09] for definition).

We know that there are Suslin towers which are not meager. However, it is still unclear if they are not equivalent to some special towers.

Problem 60. *Is there a tower generating a tall meager ideal, which is not equivalent to a special tower?*

In Section 7 we mentioned that in each Suslin tower there is a $\subseteq$-chain of order type $\omega + 1$. It seems natural to ask the following:

Problem 61. *How long* $\subseteq$*-chains have to exist in Suslin towers?*

9. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Most of the results presented in this article were obtained during the stay of the authors at Fields Institute in Toronto. We would hereby like to thank the institute for the excellent working conditions. The first author wants to acknowledge the support of the INFTY Research Networking Program (European Science Foundation) via grant 4955. We are grateful to several mathematicians, who agreed to devote their time to discuss the subject of this paper, including Grzegorz Plebanek, Jörg Brendle, and Teruyuki Yorioka. We are particularly indebted to Stevo Todorčević for all his invaluable remarks and insightful suggestions.

REFERENCES

[AT97] Uri Abraham and Stevo Todorčević. Partition properties of ω_1 compatible with CH. *Fund. Math.*, 152(2):165–181, 1997.

[Bel88] Murray G. Bell. G_κ subspaces of hyadic spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 104(2):635–640, 1988.

[BP10] B. Balcar and T. Pazák. Quotients of Boolean algebras and regular subalgebras. *Arch. Math. Logic*, 49(3):329–342, 2010.

[DT] Natasha Dobrinen and Stevo Todorčević. Tukey types of ultrafilters. *preprint*.

[Far96a] Ilijas Farah. A coherent family of partial functions on $\mathbf{N}$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 124(9):2845–2852, 1996.

[Far96b] Ilijas Farah. OCA and towers in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{N})/\mathrm{fin}$. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, 37(4):861–866, 1996.

[Hec74] Stephen H. Hechler. On the existence of certain cofinal subsets of ${}^\omega\omega$. In *Axiomatic set theory (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XIII, Part II, Univ. California, Los Angeles, Calif., 1967)*, pages 155–173. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1974.

[Hir] James Hirschorn. On the strength of hausdorff's gap condition. *preprint*.

[Kop93] Sabine Koppelberg. Characterizations of Cohen algebras. In *Papers on general topology and applications (Madison, WI, 1991)*, volume 704 of *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.*, pages 222–237. New York Acad. Sci., New York, 1993.

[MHD04] Justin Tatch Moore, Michael Hrušák, and Mirna Džamonja. Parametrized $\diamondsuit$ principles. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 356(6):2281–2306, 2004.

[Mor] Charles Morgan. A gap cohomology group, 2: The Abraham-Shore technique. *Journal of Symbolic Logic, to appear*.

[Roi79] Judy Roitman. Adding a random or a Cohen real: topological consequences and the effect on Martin's axiom. *Fund. Math.*, 103(1):47–60, 1979.

[Roi88] Judy Roitman. Correction to: "Adding a random or a Cohen real: topological consequences and the effect on Martin's axiom" [Fund. Math. **103** (1979), no. 1, 47–60; MR0535835 (81h:03098)]. *Fund. Math.*, 129(2):141, 1988.

[Sch93] Marion Scheepers. Gaps in ω^ω. In *Set theory of the reals (Ramat Gan, 1991)*, volume 6 of *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.*, pages 439–561. Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1993.

[She09] Saharon Shelah. A comment on p<t. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 52(2):303–314, 2009.

[Spa96] Zoran Spasojević. Gaps in $(\mathbb{P}(\omega), \subset^*)$ and $(\omega^\omega, \leq^*)$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 124(12):3857–3865, 1996.

[Tal95] Daniel E. Talayco. Applications of cohomology to set theory. I. Hausdorff gaps. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*, 71(1):69–106, 1995.

[Tod85] Stevo Todorčević. Directed sets and cofinal types. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 290(2):711–723, 1985.

[Tod88] Stevo Todorčević. Oscillations of real numbers. In *Logic colloquium '86 (Hull, 1986)*, volume 124 of *Stud. Logic Found. Math.*, pages 325–331. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.

[Tod89] Stevo Todorčević. *Partition problems in topology*, volume 84 of *Contemporary Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1989.

[Tod96a] Stevo Todorčević. Analytic gaps. *Fund. Math.*, 150(1):55–66, 1996.

[Tod96b] Stevo Todorčević. A classification of transitive relations on ω_1. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 73(3):501–533, 1996.

[Tod98] Stevo Todorčević. Oscillations of sets of integers. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 20(2):220–252, 1998.

[Tod07] Stevo Todorčević. *Walks on ordinals and their characteristics*, volume 263 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.

[vDK82] Eric K. van Douwen and Kenneth Kunen. L-spaces and S-spaces in $\mathscr{P}(w)$. *Topology Appl.*, 14(2):143–149, 1982.

[Wei84] William Weiss. Versions of Martin's axiom. In *Handbook of set-theoretic topology*, pages 827–886. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.

[Yor03] Teruyuki Yorioka. Distinguishing types of gaps in $\mathbb{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 68(4):1261–1276, 2003.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, UNIWERSYTET WROCŁAWSKI
E-mail address: `pborod@math.uni.wroc.pl`

MATEMATICKÝ ÚSTAV, AKADEMIE VĚD ČESKÉ REPUBLIKY & DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YORK UNIVERSITY, TORONTO
E-mail address: `david.chodounsky@matfyz.cz`